Publikation:

Stahel, L. (2026). Der Elfenbeinturm unter Beschuss: Erscheinungsbedingungen von Wissenschaftsfeindlichkeit und ihre Wechselwirkungen in einem Mehrebenenmodell. *Schweizer Zeitschrift für Soziologie*.

Liste der untersuchten Publikationen.

Allum, N., Sturgis, P., Tabourazi, D., & Brunton-Smith, I. (2008). Science knowledge and attitudes across cultures: A meta-analysis. *Public Understanding of Science, 17*(1), 35–54. https://doi.org/10.1177/0963662506070159

Azevedo, F., & Jost, J. T. (2021). The ideological basis of antiscientific attitudes: Effects of authoritarianism, conservatism, religiosity, social dominance, and system justification. *Group Processes & Intergroup Relations, 24*(4), 518–549. https://doi.org/10.1177/1368430221990104

Barlow, C., & Awan, I. (2016). "You need to be sorted out with a knife": The attempted online silencing of women and people of Muslim faith within academia. *Social Media + Society, 2*(4), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1177/2056305116678896

Blizard, L. M. (2016). Faculty members' experiences of cyberbullying by students at one Canadian university: Impact and recommendations. *International Research in Higher Education, 1*(1), 107–124. https://doi.org/10.5430/irhe.v1n1p107

Blümel, C. (2024). *Anfeindungen gegen Forschende: Eine repräsentative Studie des Projektes KAPAZ. Kurzdossier für die Berichterstattung*. Deutsches Zentrum für Hochschul- und Wissenschaftsforschung GmbH (DZHW).

Broniatowski, D. A., Jamison, A. M., Qi, S., AlKulaib, L., Chen, T., Benton, A., Quinn, S. C., & Dredze, M. (2018). Weaponized health communication: Twitter bots and Russian trolls amplify the vaccine debate. *American Journal of Public Health, 108*(10), 1378–1384. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2018.304567

Cassidy, W., Faucher, C., & Jackson, M. (2014). The dark side of the ivory tower: Cyberbullying of university faculty and teaching personnel. *Alberta Journal of Educational Research, 60*(2), 279–299. https://doi.org/10.11575/ajer.v60i2.55807

Chinn, S., Hasell, A., Roden, J., & Zichettella, B. (2024). Threatening experts: Correlates of viewing scientists as a social threat. *Public Understanding of Science, 33*(1), 88–104. https://doi.org/10.1177/09636625231183115

Clobert, M., & Saroglou, V. (2015). Religion, paranormal beliefs, and distrust in science: Comparing East versus West. *Archive for the Psychology of Religion, 37*(2), 185–199. https://doi.org/10.1163/15736121-12341302

Ding, Y., Johar, G. V., & Morris, M. W. (2024). When the one true faith trumps all: Low religious diversity, religious intolerance, and science denial. *PNAS Nexus, 3*(4), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1093/pnasnexus/pgae144

Doerfler, P., Forte, A., De Cristofaro, E., Stringhini, G., Blackburn, J., & McCoy, D. (2021). 'I'm a professor, which isn't usually a dangerous job': Internet-facilitated harassment and its impact on researchers. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction, 5*(CSCW2), Article 341. https://doi.org/10.1145/3476082

Druckman, J. N. (2017). The crisis of politicization within and beyond science. *Nature Human Behaviour, 1*, 615–617. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-017-0183-5

Eslen-Ziya, H., Giorgi, A., & Ahi, C. J. (2023). Digital vulnerabilities and online harassment of academics, consequences, and coping strategies: An exploratory analysis. *Feminist Media Studies*, 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1080/14680777.2023.2281268

Ferber, A. L. (2018). "Are you willing to die for this work?" Public targeted online harassment in higher education: SWS presidential address. *Gender & Society, 32*(3), 301–320. https://doi.org/10.1177/0891243218766831

Gauchat, G. W. (2008). A test of three theories of anti-science attitudes. *Sociological Focus, 41*(4), 337–357. https://doi.org/10.1080/00380237.2008.10571338

Gauchat, G. W. (2012). Politicization of science in the public sphere: A study of public trust in the United States, 1974 to 2010. *American Sociological Review, 77*(2), 167–187. https://doi.org/10.1177/0003122412438225

Gauchat, G. W. (2023). The legitimacy of science. *Annual Review of Sociology, 49*(1), 263–279. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-soc-030320-035037

Goldenberg, M. J. (2023). Public trust in science. *Interdisciplinary Science Reviews, 48*(2), 366–378.

Hansson, S. O. (2017). Science denial as a form of pseudoscience. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part A, 63*, 39–47. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.shpsa.2017.05.002

Hawkes, N. (2011). Dangers of research into chronic fatigue syndrome. *BMJ, 342*, Article d3780. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.d3780

Hayhoe, K., & Schwartz, J. (2017). The roots of science denial. *Scientific American, 317*(4), 66–68.

Hendriks, F., Kienhues, D., & Bromme, R. (2016). Trust in science and the science of trust. In B. Blöhbaum (Ed.), *Trust and Communication in a Digitized World* (pp. 143–159). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-28059-2_8

Hornsey, M. J. (2020). Why facts are not enough: Understanding and managing the motivated rejection of science. *Current Directions in Psychological Science, 29*(6), 583–591. https://doi.org/10.1177/0963721420969364

Hornsey, M. J., & Fielding, K. S. (2017). Attitude roots and jiu jitsu persuasion: Understanding and overcoming the motivated rejection of science. *American Psychologist, 72*(5), 459–473. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0040437

Hotez, P. J. (2021). Mounting antiscience aggression in the United States. *PLOS Biology, 19*(7), Article e3001369. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3001369

Hu, M., Rao, A., Kejriwal, M., & Lerman, K. (2021). Socioeconomic correlates of anti-science attitudes in the US. *Future Internet, 13*(6), Article 160. https://doi.org/10.3390/fi13060160

Huber, R. A., Greussing, E., & Eberl, J.-M. (2022). From populism to climate scepticism: The role of institutional trust and attitudes towards science. *Environmental Politics, 31*(7), 1115–1138. https://doi.org/10.1080/09644016.2021.1978200

Jylhä, K. M., Stanley, S. K., Ojala, M., & Clarke, E. J. R. (2023). Science denial: A narrative review and recommendations for future research and practice. *European Psychologist, 28*(3), 151–161. https://doi.org/10.1027/1016-9040/a000487

Kerr, J. R., & Wilson, M. S. (2021). Right-wing authoritarianism and social dominance orientation predict rejection of science and scientists. *Group Processes & Intergroup Relations, 24*(4), 550–567. https://doi.org/10.1177/1368430221992126

Kloß, S. T. (2017). Sexual(ized) harassment and ethnographic fieldwork: A silenced aspect of social research. *Ethnography, 18*(3), 396–414. https://doi.org/10.1177/1466138116641958

Kraft, P. W., Lodge, M., & Taber, C. S. (2015). Why people "don't trust the evidence": Motivated reasoning and scientific beliefs. *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, 658*(1), 121–133. https://doi.org/10.1177/0002716214554758

Krämer, B., & Klingler, M. (2020). A bad political climate for climate research and trouble for gender studies: Right-wing populism as a challenge to science communication. In B. Krämer & C. Holtz-Bacha (Eds.), *Perspectives on Populism and the Media* (pp. 253–272). Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft mbH & Co. KG.

Lewandowsky, S., Gignac, G. E., & Oberauer, K. (2013). The role of conspiracist ideation and worldviews in predicting rejection of science. *PLOS ONE, 8*(10), Article e75637. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0134773

Lewandowsky, S., Mann, M. E., Bauld, L., Hastings, G., & Loftus, E. F. (2013). The subterranean war on science. *APS Observer, 26*.

Lewandowsky, S., & Oberauer, K. (2016). Motivated rejection of science. *Current Directions in Psychological Science, 25*(4), 217–222. https://doi.org/10.1177/0963721416654436

Lewandowsky, S., & Oberauer, K. (2021). Worldview-motivated rejection of science and the norms of science. *Cognition, 215*, Article 104820. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cognition.2021.104820

Lewandowsky, S., Oberauer, K., & Gignac, G. E. (2013). NASA faked the moon landing - therefore, (climate) science is a hoax: An anatomy of the motivated rejection of science. *Psychological Science, 24*(5), 622–633. https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797612457686

Makri, A. (2021). "I had to be with bodyguards with guns" - Attacks on scientists during the pandemic. *Nature Medicine, 27*(4), 564–567. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-021-01314-9

Mann, M., & Schleifer, C. (2020). Love the science, hate the scientists: Conservative identity protects belief in science and undermines trust in scientists. *Social Forces, 99*(1), 305–332. https://doi.org/10.1093/sf/soz156

Massanari, A. L. (2018). Rethinking research ethics, power, and the risk of visibility in the era of the "alt-right" gaze. *Social Media + Society, 4*(2). https://doi.org/10.1177/2056305118768302

McCright, A. M., Dentzman, K., Charters, M., & Dietz, T. (2013). The influence of political ideology on trust in science. *Environmental Research Letters, 8*(4), Article 044029. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/8/4/044029

McLintic, A. (2019). The motivations behind science denial. *NZMJ, 132*(1504), 88–94.

McPhetres, J., & Zuckerman, M. (2018). Religiosity predicts negative attitudes towards science and lower levels of science literacy. *PLOS ONE, 13*(11), Article e0207125. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0207125

Motta, M. (2018). The polarizing effect of the March for Science on attitudes toward scientists. *Political Science & Politics, 51*(4), 782–788. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1049096518000938

Nauroth, P., Gollwitzer, M., Bender, J., & Rothmund, T. (2014). Gamers against science: The case of the violent video games debate. *European Journal of Social Psychology, 44*(2), 104–116. https://doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.1998

Nauroth, P., Gollwitzer, M., Bender, J., & Rothmund, T. (2015). Social identity threat motivates science-discrediting online comments. *PLOS ONE, 10*(2), Article e0117476. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0117476

Nisbet, E. C., Cooper, K. E., & Garrett, R. K. (2015). The partisan brain: How dissonant science messages lead conservatives and liberals to (dis)trust science. *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, 658*(1), 36–66. https://doi.org/10.1177/0002716214555474

Nogrady, B. (2021). Scientists under attack. *Nature, 598*(7880), 250–253. https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-021-02741-x

O'Grady, C. (2022). In the line of fire. *Science, 375*(6587), 1338–1343. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abq1755

Oksanen, A., Celuch, M., Latikka, R., Oksa, R., & Savela, N. (2022). Hate and harassment in academia: The rising concern of the online environment. *Higher Education, 84*(3), 541–567. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-021-00787-4

Oreskes, N., & Conway, E. M. (2022). From anti-government to anti-science: Why conservatives have turned against science. *Daedalus, 151*(4), 98–123. https://doi.org/10.1162/daed_a_01946

Paul, E., Brown, G. W., Ridde, V., & Sturmberg, J. P. (2024). Who is "anti-science"? *Public Health in Practice, 7*, Article 100493. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhip.2024.100493

Pechar, E., Bernauer, T., & Mayer, F. (2018). Beyond political ideology: The impact of attitudes towards government and corporations on trust in science. *Science Communication, 40*(3), 291–313. https://doi.org/10.1177/1075547018763970

Pendergrast, T. R., Jain, S., Trueger, N. S., Gottlieb, M., Woitowich, N. C., & Arora, V. M. (2021). Prevalence of personal attacks and sexual harassment of physicians on social media. *JAMA Internal Medicine, 181*(4), 550. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.7235

Peters, T. (2018). Who's winning the war against science? *Theology and Science, 16*(2), 166–176. https://doi.org/10.1080/14746700.2018.1455266

Pető, A. (2021). Angriffe gegen die Institutionen der Wissenschaft und ihre Instrumentalisierung im illiberalen Regime: Eine Anregung zum Überdenken der gesellschaftlichen Rolle der Wissenschaft und ihre Perspektiven. In S. A. Strube, R. Perintfalvi, R. Hemet, M. Metze, & C. Sahbaz (Eds.), *Anti-Genderismus in Europa* (pp. 187–200). transcript Verlag.

Phalen, R. F. (2017). Crimes against science, scientists, and health professionals. In *Core Ethics for Health Professionals* (pp. 87–99). Springer International Publishing.

Philipp-Muller, A., Lee, S. W. S., & Petty, R. E. (2022). Why are people antiscience, and what can we do about it? *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 119*(30), Article e2120755119. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2120755119

Rosenau, J. (2012). Science denial: A guide for scientists. *Trends in Microbiology, 20*(12), 567–569. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2012.10.002

Rutjens, B. T., Heine, S. J., Sutton, R. M., & Van Harreveld, F. (2018). Attitudes towards science. *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology, 57*, 125–165.

Rutjens, B. T., Sengupta, N., van der Lee, R., van Koningsbruggen, G. M., Martens, J. P., Rabelo, A., & Sutton, R. M. (2022). Science skepticism across 24 countries. *Social Psychological and Personality Science, 13*(1), 102–117. https://doi.org/10.1177/19485506211001329

Rutjens, B. T., Sutton, R. M., & van der Lee, R. (2018). Not all skepticism is equal: Exploring the ideological antecedents of science acceptance and rejection. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 44*(3), 384–405. https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167217741314

Rutjens, B. T., van der Linden, S., van der Lee, R., & Zarzeczna, N. (2021). A group processes approach to antiscience beliefs and endorsement of "alternative facts." *Group Processes & Intergroup Relations, 24*(4), 513–517. https://doi.org/10.1177/13684302211009708

Sharp, G., & Kremer, E. (2006). The safety dance: Confronting harassment, intimidation, and violence in the field. *Sociological Methodology, 36*(1), 317–327. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9531.2006.00183.x

Simpson, A., & Rios, K. (2019). Is science for atheists? Perceived threat to religious cultural authority explains U.S. Christians' distrust in secularized science. *Public Understanding of Science, 28*(7), 740–758. https://doi.org/10.1177/0963662519871881

Stein, J.-P., & Appel, M. (2021). How to deal with researcher harassment in the social sciences. *Nature Human Behaviour, 5*(2), 178–180. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-020-01011-6

Väliverronen, E. (2021). Science and expertise under fire: Political control, online harassment and freedom of expression. In N. Ribeiro, B. Zelizer, F. Lee, R. Kunelius, & S. Banet-Weiser (Eds.), *Media and Uncertainty* (pp. 31–38). Lisbon Winter School for the Study of Communication.

Väliverronen, E., & Saikkonen, S. (2021). Science communicators intimidated: Researchers' freedom of expression and the rise of authoritarian populism. *Journal of Science Communication, 20*(04), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.22323/2.20040208

Vera-Gray, F. (2017). 'Talk about a cunt with too much idle time': Trolling feminist research. *Feminist Review, 115*(1), 61–78. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41305-017-0038-y

Verhaeghe, P.-P. (2023). The academic intimidation and harassment of scientists at Flemish universities in Belgium. Vrije Universiteit Brussel.

Washburn, A. N., & Skitka, L. J. (2018). Science denial across the political divide: Liberals and conservatives are similarly motivated to deny attitude-inconsistent science. *Social Psychological and Personality Science, 9*(8), 972–980. https://doi.org/10.1177/1948550617731500

Weingart, P. (2022). Wissenschaft als Beruf in Zeiten von fake news und Expertenkritik. In U. Bachmann & T. Schwinn (Eds.), *Max Weber revisited: Zur Aktualität eines Klassikers*. Beltz Juventa.

Weingart, P. (2023). Trust and distrust of scientific experts and the challenges of the democratization of science. In G. Eyal & T. Medvetz (Eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Expertise and Democratic Politics* (pp. 29–51). Oxford University Press.

Woodruff, W. H. (2023). Science in the public mind: Sources and consequences of antipathy. *Perspectives in Biology and Medicine, 66*(3), 468–477. https://doi.org/10.1353/pbm.2023.a902039